

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT FINAL COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
1				Terry Anderson	The LRA's new phone number is up and running. It is now 646-1746. Their fax is 646-9571.	The LRA's phone number will be updated on Figures ES-2 and 1-2 and on Page 5-4.
1	Executive Summary	ES-5	First column, third item	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In the test, to make sense, this item should start with a verb. One suggestion for change is as follows: "Review the Proposed Plan, attend the public meeting, and provide comments. A Proposed Plan summarizes clean-up options and presents the preferred alternative. The meeting date and time will be announced in the newspaper."	The sentences in Figure E-2 will be re-ordered to read, "Review the Proposed Plan, attend the public meeting, and provide comments. A Proposed Plan summarizes clean-up options and presents the preferred alternative. The meeting date and time will be announced in the newspaper. Written comments should be provided within the Public Comment Period provided in the written notice."
2	1	1-10	First column, third item	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In the test, to make sense, this item should start with a verb. One suggestion for change is as follows: "Review the Proposed Plan, attend the public meeting, and provide comments. A Proposed Plan summarizes clean-up options and presents the preferred alternative. The meeting date and time will be announced in the newspaper."	The sentences in Figure 1-10 will be re-ordered to read, "Review the Proposed Plan, attend the public meeting, and provide comments. A Proposed Plan summarizes clean-up options and presents the preferred alternative. The meeting date and time will be announced in the newspaper. Written comments should be provided within the Public Comment Period provided in the written notice."
3	2	2-3	Category 3 Description, First sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	This sentence perhaps should read as follows: "Areas where release of hazardous substances has occurred at concentrations that do not exceed Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement (ARAR) action levels or do not present a threat to human health or the environment."	The sentence will be revised to agree with other more recent McClellan AFB documents (e.g. the BRAC Cleanup Plan). The new sentence will read: "Areas were release of hazardous substances has occurred but at concentrations that do not present a threat to human health or the environment."
1				Joe Healy (EPA)	EPA's official comments (DSR Record #779) on the above document are that it is acceptable as the final version pending appropriate replacement pages and new cover page. Our previous comments were satisfactorily addressed.	No response necessary.

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
NOTICE						
1		3	First Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please add a statement of purpose for the CRP in line with its actual use. The first sentence would then read something like this: “This report has been prepared for the Air Force by Radian International for the purpose of identifying community concerns and laying out a plan for how the public will be informed and involved in the Air Force Installation Restoration Program (IRP) at McClellan Air Force Base (McClellan AFB).”	The following text will replace that currently contained in the NOTICE, which is located at the beginning of the document: “This report has been prepared for the Air Force by Radian International for the purpose of identifying community concerns and laying out a plan for how the public will be informed of and involved in the Air Force Installation Restoration Program (IRP) at McClellan Air Force Base (McClellan AFB).”
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS						
2		iv		Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please add the acronym CERFA with its definition. Also, in the definition of DTSC, please omit Cal/EPA Office.	The acronym CERFA, which stands for Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act, will be added to Acronyms and Abbreviations, which will be moved to the back of the document and marked with a new tab. “Cal/EPA Office” will be omitted from the definition of DTSC.
3		vi		Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In the definition of RWQCB, again please omit Cal/EPA Office.	“Cal/EPA Office” will be omitted from the definition of RWQCB.
4		vii		Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please spell out “United States” in the definition of U.S. EPA.	“United States” will be spelled out in the definition of U.S. EPA provided in the Acronyms and Abbreviations list.

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
GLOSSARY OF TERMS						
5				Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Definitions of chemicals refer only to their use or have a physical description, but omit any reference to their toxic or hazardous natures. DTSC suggest adding the nature of concern, for example, “x chemical is a known or suspected cause of cancer.” See Polychlorinated Biphenyl, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, and Trichloroethene.	The toxic or hazardous nature will be added to the following chemicals in the Glossary of Terms: Inorganic Compounds Heavy Metals Metals Particulate matter Pesticide 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Trichloroethene Volatile Organic Compounds.  As requested by David Cooper in his comment 7, the Glossary of Terms will be moved to the back of the document and marked with a new tab.
6		xiii	Public Participation	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Since DTSC is the only Cal/EPA board or department that has Public Participation fully integrated into all aspects of the department’s work (including permitting of facilities that handle hazardous waste), please change accordingly. One suggestion would be as follows: “Cal/EPA DTSC’s program to inform and involve the public in hazardous waste site clean-up and hazardous waste permit decisions.”	The following text will replace that currently provided for the definition of “Public Participation”: “Cal/EPA DTSC’s program to inform and involve the public in hazardous waste site cleanup and hazardous waste permit decisions.”

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY						
7	Executive Summary	ES-1	Second Paragraph, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In this sentence, an Air Force advisory committee is referred to as a community group. This sentence currently reads: “Participation of the community is greater than ever due to McClellan AFB’s outreach and the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), the most active community group involved in base clean-up.” Is participation really greater now than it was back in the late 1980s when people lost their domestic well water? Also, the RAB is not a community group. One suggestion for change is as follows: “Community participation has increased due to McClellan AFB’s outreach and the efforts of individual members of the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB).”	In order to clarify the intent and emphasize that the RAB works as a team, this sentence will be revised to read: “McClellan AFB has had active community involvement since the early 1980s. Participation of the community has increased due to McClellan AFB’s outreach and the efforts of the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), the most active group involved in the base cleanup.”
8	Executive Summary	ES-3	Figure ES-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Change the label on the map from “Oak Street” to “Oak Lane” as the Rio Linda Library building is on Oak Lane not Oak Street.	The label on the map in this figure will be changed to read “Oak Lane” instead of “Oak St.”
9	Executive Summary	ES-6	Figure ES-2	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Currently, the direction of one of the arrows is <u>from</u> McClellan AFB Reuse <u>to</u> the RAB Reuse Committee. In order to be a forum for public input, please change the arrow to be <u>from</u> the Committee <u>to</u> McClellan AFB Reuse.	The chart will be reworked to better reflect forums for public input.

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
10	1	1-1	Second Paragraph, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The statement, “in recent years, outreach and other efforts by McClellan AFB staff have eased community concerns about contamination and have improved the Air Force’s credibility.” If there is support for this statement, please add it here. For someone who mistrusts McClellan AFB, a statement like this has to have supporting reasons for it to be considered seriously.	<p>The following text will be inserted after the second sentence of the second paragraph of Section 1.0:</p> <p>“Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RAB members can access the base;</li> <li>• RAB members have a computer workstation in the Environmental Management office;</li> <li>• Advisory Worksheets are issued to RAB members for their input on draft documents;</li> <li>• Public concern about building a hazardous waste storage facility too close to the base boundary was considered and the building was located farther on base.</li> <li>• The RAB’s advice has been heeded in the following instances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RAB comments on <i>Groundwater Monitoring Plan</i> were discussed and incorporated.</li> <li>• RAB suggestion not to pipe treated water from OU B to the sewer system but rather to the Groundwater Treatment Plant.</li> <li>• RAB concerns were addressed in project prioritization every year before the BRAC decision, when projects were funded under DERA and went through the relative ranking process.</li> <li>• RAB concerns on an EE/CA for a site with radiological contamination have been incorporated into the EE/CA document.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>(cont.)</p>

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
10 (cont.)	1	1-1	Second Paragraph, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)		(cont.) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RAB concerns about piping treated water through a grey water line have been incorporated into the groundwater IROD work.</li> <li>• RAB comments on the VOC Feasibility Study have been incorporated.</li> <li>• RAB concerns about the gray water line capacity in the Groundwater Phase 2 improvements to the Groundwater Treatment Plant.</li> <li>• RAB comments on CS 10 EE/CA about dust and trucks.</li> <li>• RAB advice on creek restoration program is being implemented in 1999.</li> <li>• RAB members attend the monthly BRAC Cleanup Team meetings and provide input;</li> <li>• News releases on spills and other events are briefed to RAB committee chairs at the same time they are provided to the media; and</li> <li>• RAB members and other interested members of the public are given tours of the various sites being cleaned up at the base.”</li> </ul>
11	1	1-5	Figure 1-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Change the label on the map from “Oak Street” to “Oak Lane” as the Rio Linda Library building is on Oak Lane not Oak Street.	The label on the map in this figure will be changed to read “Oak Lane” instead of “Oak St.”

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
BASE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY						
12	2	2-3	Second Paragraph	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The definition of Category 7 Property is out of numerical order. Please place the definition in numerical order so people can easily find this term.	The property categories are listed in the text in <i>priority</i> and <i>not numerical</i> order to emphasize how the Air Force addresses sites as information is gained via the property categorization process. The last sentence to the eighth paragraph in Section 2.2 will be revised to read: “This category hierarchy is prioritized to emphasize areas of known soil, soil gas, and groundwater contaminants. The DoD categories are defined as follows and listed in the hierarchy with which they take precedence on Figure 2-1 and other environmental condition of property maps (the colors listed in parentheses correlate to the color coding of Figure 2-1):”  The color-coding will be listed after each category is described in the text.
13	2	2-7	Figure 2-3	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The boundaries of McClellan AFB are not totally connected on the southeast side of the base. Also, on the eastern side of the base, there is no flood plain information for Don Julio or Rio Linda Creeks. Is that because there is no flood danger in these areas or what?	Map will be replaced by an accurate creek map to show the flows of the creeks on and off base.
14	2	2-8	Figure 2-4	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In the legend, is the symbol for City Wells accurate? Would CW be more accurate?	The legend in this figure will be changed so that “CW” replaces “CT” when referring to “City Well.”
15	2	2-10	Table 2-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The column entitled “Public Health Action Plan” is confusing. Please clarify the symbols U and P at the beginning. Also, what do the numbers in the Action Plan mean? What do these numbers refer to? The Superscript a and b are also confusing.	Use of the U and P and number labels in the Action Plan column will be deleted, as well as the superscript a and b. They do not apply to the use of this table in this document.

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
16	2	2-12	Table 2-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please correct the typographic error in Item U10 in the column entitled “Public Health Action Plan”. “He” should be “the”.	The text in Item U10 will be corrected so that “he” is replaced by “the.”
CLEANUP AT MCCLELLAN AFB						
17	3	3-4	Second Paragraph	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Since McClellan AFB is such a high profile site for the public as well as the Air Force, a discussion of how McClellan AFB plans to inform the public about RI/FS findings would be helpful in this paragraph.	The following text will be added after the second sentence of the second paragraph of Step 2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study: “Findings from RI/FS activities are briefed before the RAB each quarter. These meetings are advertised in local newspapers and are open to the public.”
18	3	3-5	First Bullet, Last Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please rewrite the last sentence as follows: “Removal actions may or may not be the final clean-up remedy for the site, but must be consistent with long-term plans and are subject to at least thirty days of public comment.”	The last sentence of the first bullet under Removal Actions will be revised to read: “Removal actions may or may not be the final cleanup remedy for the site, but must be consistent with long-term plans and are subject to at least 30 days of public comment.”



**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
19	3	3-5	Second Bullet	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Since there is a thirty-day public comment period for all Non Time-Critical Removal Actions, why not mention it in this summary? The sentence, “The public has an opportunity to provide input into the non time-critical removal action after the EE/CA is written” could be rewritten and moved to an earlier position in the paragraph (after the third sentence would be best since the public comment comes before the Action Memorandum is written). One reason to rewrite this sentence is to avoid any implication that the decisions on the removal cannot be changed by public comment and the second reason is to include the length of the public comment period. Perhaps this wording would be better: “The public has a minimum of thirty days to comment on the EE/CA and regulations require that McClellan respond to all comments in the Action Memorandum when it is finalized.”	The sixth sentence of the second bullet under Removal Actions will be revised to read: “The public has an opportunity to provide input into the non time-critical removal action after the EE/CA is written via a minimum 30-day comment period. The community is also notified through a public notice in a local newspaper that the document is available for review.”
20	3	3-8	First Paragraph, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	At the off base facilities (Lincoln, Camp Kohler, and Davis) how many sites has McClellan found at each location? If more than one, this second sentence should reflect that information.	Camp Kohler, Davis, and Lincoln are each considered one site. The following sentence will be added after the second sentence in Section 3.3: “The off-base sites are singular sites (i.e., the Davis, Lincoln, and Camp Kohler sites do not consist of smaller sites.”
21	3	3-8	Table 3-1, Operable Unit C	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	What are hush houses? Please explain, as this term is not commonly used at military bases.	A hush house is a structure used to muffle the sound from jet engines when they are tested after repair. This definition will be added as a footnote to Table 3-1.
22	3	3-10	Figure 3-4	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The boundary between OU A and OU H is not defined on this figure. Also, please place the southeast parcel of base property in an operable unit.	The boundaries for OUs A and H will be clarified on this figure. The southeast parcel is not assigned to an OU.

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
23	3	3-23	Table 3-3	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The fourth item under the Objectives/Purpose column, the steam injection demonstration description, steam is misspelled.	The word “stream” will be corrected to read “steam.”
COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT						
24	4	4-1	Fourth Paragraph, Sixth Sentence and Fifth Paragraph, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Both of these sentences, “McClellan AFB slowly began to build credibility with the public, mainly because the public representatives on the TRC were very active participants.” and “Public participation in the clean-up of the McClellan AFB had reached it highest level yet.” may presume too much. Is there evidence that both of these statements are true? If so, why not use it to support these statements?	The sixth sentence of the fourth paragraph will be revised to read: “McClellan AFB slowly began to build credibility with the public by being more open with information and allowing the public representatives on the TRC to participate in the cleanup process.”  The second sentence of the fifth paragraph will be revised to read: “Use of the RAB forum allowed for even more public participation in the base’s cleanup program than the TRC had. Through the RAB, community members became part of the review process for the cleanup program.”
25	4	4-3	Figure 4-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The Sacramento City Limits on this figure are skewed. Please change so the city limits are clear.	This figure will be corrected to show the proper city limits.
26	4	4-11	Paragraph One, First Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	The Restoration Advisory Board is not a community group. Please change to read advisory group.	The first sentence under “Restoration Advisory Board: A Definition” will be revised to read: “The RAB is the most active group with community and other members involved in the cleanup.”
27	4	4-12	Paragraph Seven, Second Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	This sentence has missing word. It currently reads, “It is made of residents from Elverta.....” Please add “up” between made and of.	The second sentence under “The Community-Base Council” will be revised to read: “It is made up of residents from Elverta, Rio Linda, and North Highlands...”
28	4	4-14	Paragraph One, First Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	In this sentence, please correct the work “took” to read, community interviews are a valuable “tool.”	“Took” will be corrected to read “tool” in this sentence.
29	4	4-15	Paragraph One, First Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	All references to the Department of Toxic Substances Control should be abbreviated as “DTSC”, not Cal/EPA.	“Cal/EPA” will be revised to read “DTSC” in this sentence; the whole document will be edited for this same reference.

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
30	4	4-20	Paragraph Four, Third Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please expand on this suggestion that the RAB needs to be more representative of the community. Did the interviewees have specific community areas or groups that they felt were not represented on the RAB? Since this concern is an important one, more detail is important so that McClellan AFB can plan how to address this concern.	<p>The third sentence of the fourth paragraph will be revised to read: “It was also suggested that a broader cross-section of members from all communities surrounding the base and a larger number of members would make the Board more representative of the community. McClellan AFB EM staff currently promotes the RAB when speaking with community groups and the media or when writing newsletter articles to encourage membership.”</p> <p>During the interviews, there were no specific groups mentioned to target for RAB membership, other than all surrounding communities need to be represented. It was suggested a larger number of members would help in being more representative.</p>
31	4	4-22	Paragraph Two	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please add specific dates to each discussion of McClellan’s various responses to community concerns. For example, when was the proposed hazardous waste storage facility planned for near the base boundary?	<p>Paragraph two will be revised to read: “Throughout the cleanup process, McClellan AFB has taken many other actions to respond to community concerns. One of the first of these actions was adding a community representative to the original Task Force in July 1990. In April 1990, when a hazardous materials storage facility was proposed near the base boundary, McClellan AFB moved the facility in response to community concerns so it would not be so close to the base boundary. Public comments during the OU B Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) in April 1991 caused the Air Force to change the outflow of treated water from the sewer to the GWTP. Repeated community concerns and questions about compliance issues at RAB or TRC meetings prompted the formation of the Environmental Compliance Forum in October 1995. However, the Environmental Compliance Forum did not prove to be the most successful way to provide information</p> <p>(cont.)</p>

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
31 (Cont.)	4	4-22	Paragraph Two	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)		(cont.) on base compliance activities to the community because attendance was often low. Currently, McClellan AFB is considering other venues for providing this information, such as the Base Community Council meetings. At this time, McClellan AFB is actively soliciting RAB members' input on cleanup issues, such as a low-level radiation EE/CA, the Volatile Organic Compound Feasibility Study, and changes to the GWTP. Currently and into the future, decisions regarding budget and cleanup are made with input from the community."
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DECISION						
32	5	5-1	Paragraph Two, Fourth Sentence	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please eliminate this sentence: "To meet these commitments, the Air Force works in a highly efficient and cooperative manner with the LRA, the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), and the state and federal regulators." It is not really true in the case of the RAB and it is somewhat exaggerated about the others.	The fourth sentence of the second paragraph in Section 5.0 will be revised to read: "To meet these commitments, the Air Force works in cooperation with the LRA, the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), and state and federal regulators."
33	5	5-6	Figure 5-1	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Currently, the direction of one of the arrows is <u>from</u> McClellan AFB Reuse <u>to</u> the RAB Reuse Committee. In order to be a forum for public input, please change the arrow to be <u>from</u> the Committee <u>to</u> McClellan AFB Reuse.	Please refer to response to comment number 9.
REFERENCES						
34	6	6-1	Fourth Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	This author should be Cal/EPA, DTSC.	This reference will be revised to read: "State of California, Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control."
35	6	6-1	Ninth Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please be consistent in all references to U.S. Census information sources. Is it the Federal Census Bureau or the U.S. Census Bureau?	All references to this agency will be revised to read "U.S. Census Bureau."

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
36	6	6-1	Thirteenth Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	What is Bill Nelson's position? Please add to this entry. Also, please be consistent for all personal communication entries.	This reference will be revised to read: "Nelson, 1998. Personal Communication between Bill Nelson, Senior Regional Representative with the Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, and Radian staff. October."
37	6	6-1	Fifteenth Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Did Radian write this 1985 Community Relations Plan for McClellan AFB or another base?	This reference will be revised to read: "Radian Corporation, 1985. <i>Community Relations Plan</i> . McClellan AFB."
38	6	6-2	Fourth Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	What is Sara Sarasua's position? Please add to this entry.	This reference will be revised to read: "Sarasua, 1998. Personal Communication between Sara Sarasua with the Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Division of Health Studies, and Radian staff. October."
39	6	6-2	Seventh Entry	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Again, please be consistent in all references to U.S. Census information sources. Is it the Federal Census Bureau or the U.S. Census Bureau?	This reference is correct – U.S. Census Bureau.
APPENDIX D						
40	Appendix D	D-1	California State Senate	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Ms. Ortiz's first name is misspelled. It should be "Deborah".	Ms. Ortiz' first name will be corrected to read "Deborah" in Appendix D.
41	Appendix D	D-3	Interested Parties	Nathan Schumacher (DTSC)	Please remove Ms. Val Siebel and Mr. Eric Hong as contacts for DTSC and replace with Mr. Dan Ward.	These corrections will be made in Appendix D under Interested Parties.

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
GENERAL COMMENTS						
A				Joe Healy (EPA)	Do you have any plans for gathering specific input from occasional participants (e.g., representatives of the local congress members concerning proposed plans)? Esther Hill of EPA Region 9 works with various entities in the greater Sacramento area and would likely have a list of valuable contacts to consider approaching for specific input. I strongly recommend that the subject Community Relations Plan include more detail on how and how often you would approach what types of occasional participants (i.e., people who have not chosen to be more actively involved with the RAB or other regular-meeting group associated with the McClellan cleanup program).	Outreach to occasional participants consists of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CRP interviews;</li> <li>• Speakers at local organizations such as the Roseville Rotary Club;</li> <li>• Public service announcements and interviews on local radio stations, such as MIX 96;</li> <li>• Advertisements in local newspapers of public meetings held twice a quarter;</li> <li>• Copies of the quarterly <i>Environmental Action Update</i> newsletter are provided to local libraries.</li> </ul>
B				Joe Healy (EPA)	<p>A start to the list described in Comment A is as follows (provided by Esther Hill in a 1/15/99 email message to me that I subsequently forwarded on to you):</p> <p>John Hopkins, Institute for Ecological Health  409 Jardin Place  Davis, CA 95616  (530) 756-6455  e-mail: <a href="mailto:ieh@mail.mother.com">ieh@mail.mother.com</a>  (cont.)</p>	These individuals will be added to the McClellan AFB Public Participation Mailing List and will be contacted for interviews during the next update to the Community Relations Plan.

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
B (cont.)				Joe Healy (EPA)	<p>(cont.)</p> <p>Works on California land issues, including conservation biology, planning and economics, development, urban design and agriculture.</p> <p>Elizabeth Burris, Valley Vision 7750 College Town Drive Suite 208 Sacramento, CA 95826-2344 (916) 278-4686 Non-profit research and education organization working to develop regional answers to social, economic and infrastructure challenges inherent in growth in the Sacramento area.</p> <p>Katy Pye, Yolo County Resource Conservation District (530) 662-2037 Might be able to tell you if there is a RCD near McClellan. RCDs work primarily with farmers on land conservation and ag. issues, but have also been involved with environmental issues recently.</p> <p>Jude Lamare, Clean Air Partnership Cleaner Air Partnership of Sacramento 1823 Eleventh Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916)447-4956 Not a direct link to McClellan, but very influential enviro. who could possibly give you other contacts.</p> <p>Judy Corbett Local Government Commission 1414 K St., Suite 250 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 448-1198</p>	

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
B (cont.)				Joe Healy (EPA)	<p>(cont.)</p> <p>From what I can tell, this is a very interesting, brainy group doing good work throughout CA. They may be interested in the sustainable development/reuse/land use angle.</p> <p>Warren Alford Motherlode Chapter, Sierra Club 916-557-1100, ext. 108</p> <p>I've left a message for Warren but haven't heard back. I asked for his advice on people/groups who might be interested in McClellan.</p>	
SPECIFIC COMMENTS						
1	Executive Summary	ES-2		Joe Healy (EPA)	Consider adding another bullet titled something like "Key Documents of Potential Interest". The QSR and the BCP come to mind. The QSR at least contains a valuable contact list that ideally would also include some or all of the RAB members who provide permission.	The following sentence will be inserted before the last sentence of the "Literature" bullet in the Executive Summary: "Particular documents of interest may include the <i>Quarterly Status Report</i> , which documents progress on cleanup projects; the <i>BRAC Cleanup Plan</i> , which documents Environmental Management's goals and strategies for cleaning up the base and staying compliant with environmental regulations; and <i>The Five Year Review</i> , which examines the effectiveness of cleanup systems on-base at five-year intervals of operation."
2	1	1-4	Bottom Paragraph	Joe Healy (EPA)	RAB public meetings occur 2 out of every three months instead of every six weeks. Perhaps its best to say eight times a year and list the meeting months.	The first sentence of the second paragraph under the "Restoration Advisory Board" bullet will be revised to read: "Currently, the RAB meets in January, March, April, June, July, September, October, and December of each year."



McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
3	4	4-4	Historical Development	Joe Healy (EPA)	Why is there no mention of the “Parker Homes” area that was reportedly used at one time for some base housing? I believe that Gary Collier has attended some recent RAB meetings and may represent some members of that residential area.	The following information will be added: Parker Homes was temporary military housing constructed during World War II. The housing area was turned over to the City of Sacramento in 1946.
4	5		Section 5.3	Joe Healy (EPA)	This document should also refer the reader to other opportunities not necessarily provided by or coordinated by McAFB (e.g., LRA community involvement process - I think Randall Yim once provided us with an outline of this process). A brief description should be included here.	To be added: “The public may provide its input and comments on reuse issues to the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors, which functions as the LRA for McClellan AFB. Refer to Fig. 5-1 for information on how the community can be involved in the process.”
5	5	5-5	End of Second Paragraph	Joe Healy (EPA)	“These are explained in more detail below” should include a walk through Figure 5-1 as to the nature of the arrows (e.g., what types of information is exchanged or provided from whom and to whom?).	The end of the second paragraph will be revised to read: “Figure 5-1 illustrates opportunities for community participation in the cleanup and reuse of the base. The community can get involved with the cleanup and reuse of the base by attending RAB meetings, BCT meetings, and through Air Force public outreach and public comment periods for environmental actions. The community can give its input to the LRA through the RAB’s Reuse Committee, McClellan AFB, County Board of Supervisors, Rio Linda-Elverta Community Advisory Council, North Highlands-Foothill Farms Community Advisory Council, and Sacramento County Planning/Action Team. These are explained in more detail below.”

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
GENERAL COMMENTS						
1				David Cooper (EPA)	<p>This is a public document, not a technical manual. It is meant to be read in a straightforward manner. The organization of this plan does not lend itself to ease of use. Most people read documents starting at the beginning, but to get to some of the meat of this document, you must jump to the middle (Section 4.0). The reader must go through five pages (and a graphic) just to get to the Table of Contents. What the citizens want to know is: “how/when can I become involved in the decision-making process, if I become interested,” and they need to be able to quickly understand how this document can help them.</p>	<p>The figure at the very front of the document gives the reader the “how/when” information requested. It will be moved to the end of the Executive Summary so the reader can review the essence of the document in the first seven pages. The following text will be added after the Executive Summary to describe the content of each section. A person may then tab to a section of interest for more detail:</p> <p><b>“Organization of the Community Relations Plan</b></p> <p>Section 1.0, Community Relations Strategy, outlines the goals and components of the base’s Community Relations Program.</p> <p>Section 2.0, Base Description Summary, describes the base and its history of contamination.</p> <p>Section 3.0, Cleanup at McClellan AFB, provides an informational overview of the remedial response and IRP process. It also describes the base’s cleanup program.</p> <p>Section 4.0, Historical Community Focus, summarizes the base’s Community Relations Program history and current concerns of the community. This section also profiles the surrounding community.</p> <p>Section 5.0, Base Realignment and Closure Decision, describes how the cleanup program relates to the base closing and its reuse. This section also outlines opportunities for community involvement during the reuse process.</p> <p>(cont.)</p>

McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
1 (cont.)				David Cooper (EPA)		(cont.)  The appendices provide a list of members of the RAB and a copy of their Charter, Rules of Order, and By-Laws; list demographic data and methodologies; provide a chronology of community involvement activities; and list points contact for receipt of environmental information.”
2				David Cooper (EPA)	I do not understand why the Community Relations Program section is separated from the Community Relations Strategy. After the introduction, the reader needs to wade through almost 40 pages (with graphics) to return to the connect with this second section on Community Involvement.	Section 4 will be renamed: “Historical Community Involvement” to better describe its contents. The material the document is designed to highlight – opportunities for community involvement, the Air Force strategy for community relations, and the elements of the Air Force’s Community Relations Program at McClellan AFB – are placed at the front of the document to emphasize their importance. Tabs allow the reader to easily access information of particular interest in other sections of the document.
3				David Cooper (EPA)	Citizens turn to this document to understand <u>when</u> they should become involved, i.e., the decision-making points. With the number of pages in this document, those milestones get lost in Section 3, where they appear to be referenced in only a single paragraph. It would be highly valuable to include a time line graphic that estimates the RI availabilities, proposed plans, 30-day comment periods and EE/CAVES. The West Area Restoration Time line insert was a good example (although a tad complex).	A timeline figure that shows the major milestones in the cleanup program at McClellan AFB, as described in Section 3.2, will be inserted in Section 3.2, with opportunities for public involvement highlighted.
4				David Cooper (EPA)	There are a number of misspellings and grammar mistakes in the document. I have indicated only a few. The document should be QA/QCed.	The document will be edited again prior to release of the draft final version.

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
5				David Cooper (EPA)	There is no cover/title page.	A cover/title page will be added.
6				David Cooper (EPA)	The distribution should go at the end, just like cc:s of a letter.	The distribution list will be added at the end of the document with its own tab.
7				David Cooper (EPA)	The acronyms/abbreviations and glossary should not precede the content, particularly as lengthy as these are. They slow the reading.	The acronyms/abbreviations and glossary will be added at the end of the document with their own tabs.
8				David Cooper (EPA)	The document's introduction should provide the overview of the CRP itself. It should identify the places in the CRP where the reader can go to get details. It should identify the appendixes and explain their purpose.	Please refer to response to General Comment 1.
9				David Cooper (EPA)	Put titles on the tabs of the appendixes. People shouldn't have to read the intro to know which tab to go to or have to flip through all of them looking for the right information.	Section numbers will be added to tabs for the main body of the document, and appendix titles will be added to appendix tabs.
10				David Cooper (EPA)	The difference between the IRP and the Superfund process/Community Relations process was not made clear.	As stated on page 3-2, "All aspects of CERCLA described below are part of the Air Force's Installation Restoration Program (IRP)." There is no difference; the IRP is simply the Air Force's program to manage CERCLA actions.
11				David Cooper (EPA)	This is the Air Force's document, not Radian's. References to them should be removed or minimized. The reader must go through 3 pages of commercials/references for the contractor before getting to the beginning of the document. EPA does not comment on contractor work; it comments on the work of Parties (PRPs) that are responsible for cleanup activities at a Superfund site, in this case, McClellan AFB.	According to the IRP Handbook, the Air Force requires these pages in the documents in their current placement.

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
12	Executive Summary	ES-1		David Cooper (EPA)	I disagree that the <u>goal</u> is two-way communication. In Superfund, the goal is to involve the community in the decision-making process. You foster two-way communications to meet the goal (see Item 18 below).	Please refer to comment number 18 below.
13	Executive Summary	ES-1		David Cooper (EPA)	The word “program” is missing from the first line of the second paragraph.	This sentence will be revised to read: “McClellan AFB has had active community involvement since the early 1980s.”
14	Executive Summary	ES-2		David Cooper (EPA)	Should read mitigate “storm” damage.	The last sentence of the “Public Meetings” bullet reads correctly as it is currently written. The creeks were damaged in <i>preparation</i> for winter storms, not by winter storms.
15	Executive Summary	ES-2		David Cooper (EPA)	Identify the position of Public Participation Specialist.	A new bullet will be inserted before “Public Meetings” to read: “Public Participation Specialist. McClellan AFB Environmental Management’s Public Participation Specialist coordinates public meetings, answers public concerns and queries, and reviews documents for clarity and effectiveness.”
16	Executive Summary	ES-4		David Cooper (EPA)	“Politicians” should be replaced by “elected officials”.	The third sentence of the third paragraph will be revised to read: “During the interviews, the Air Force and regulatory agency representatives ask local elected officials or their representatives, business and religious leaders, and public citizens if the Community Relations Program is meeting their needs.”
17				David Cooper (EPA)	I like the pullout at the front, but it has no context. I think it works better as a summary at the end of the ES and again somewhere in the main body. But, it does not take the place of narrative text to explain things.	Please see the response to General Comment 1.

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
18	1	1-1	Mid-page	David Cooper (EPA)	<p>I disagree that “strategy” is the correct word; these are philosophies or policies. A strategy is a series of concrete steps, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*provide adequate notice of meetings and document availability</li> <li>*proactively engage all stakeholders through appropriate outreach efforts (fact sheets, the newsletters, public notices, personal contact w/gov't officials and business groups, etc.)</li> <li>*provide clear explanation of, and adequate notice for, decision points (understandable schedule)</li> <li>*provide multiple points of access to the process (RAB, public participation specialist, Superfund comment periods, etc)</li> <li>*show evidence of good faith effort to incorporate citizen concerns into policy decisions, as appropriate</li> <li>*produce understandable and accurate documents (in plain English, etc.)</li> </ul>	<p>Eliminate the first sentence in the second paragraph. Add sentence to the end of the paragraph: "The following strategy evolved as a means to support McClellan's goals."</p> <p>The following strategy will be added:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• McClellan AFB provides notice of meetings, public comment periods and document availability by advertising in the newspaper, newsletters and through the RAB.</li> <li>• McClellan AFB engages all stakeholders (such as community, business and local elected officials) by using multiple means of communication in their outreach effort through fact sheets, newsletters, personal contact, timely response to public query and questions and having an effective speakers bureau available.</li> <li>• McClellan AFB provides a schedule for all documents available for review and makes them available on the WEB site and to community members at RAB meetings.</li> <li>• McClellan AFB provides access to the process by having staff available at public meetings and speaking engagements, public notice of comment periods and a community relations specialist available by phone or in person.</li> <li>• McClellan AFB incorporates citizen concerns into policy decisions by RAB worksheets, requests for feedback throughout the process and training and TAPP assistance so community members understand the process.</li> <li>• McClellan AFB asks for community input to ensure documents are understandable.</li> </ul>

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
19	1	1-8		David Cooper (EPA)	I think that section 1.2 is ill advised. It does not serve the public's interest to discuss how the AF communicates. These messages are good, but I question how they are presented.	<p>Paragraph three will be revised to read: "The Air Force uses "key messages" whenever it goes before the public to express McClellan AFB's long-term commitment to protecting human health and the environment. One example of a "key message" is the following statement the Air Force reads at the beginning of each RAB meeting:</p> <p>"McClellan Air Force Base is here tonight..."</p> <p>Other messages the base tries to get across to the community include:" (The remaining four bullets will be unchanged.)</p> <p>Sentence two in paragraph four will be revised to read: "The McClellan AFB Community Relations Program uses several approaches for preparing and working with the public, RAB, and news media."</p> <p>The last set of bullets in Section 1.2 will be revised to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Carefully planning for public meetings, including developing agendas and appropriate poster board stations; advertising time and locations of each meeting; and simplifying content of meetings to a few main bullets;</li> <li>• Holding a complete "dry run" of each presentation, to anticipate community concerns and prepare to address them, to make sure the presentation is clear and conveys appropriate information, and to be prepared to speak on current news;</li> <li>• Being open and honest about the program, whether the topic is budget requirements, field work, potential risk (for example, from radiation), or what will happen after the base is closed;</li> </ul> <p>(cont.)</p>

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
19 (cont.)	1	1-8		David Cooper (EPA)		(cont.) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Listening to the public's questions and concerns, and addressing them as quickly and as fully as possible;</li> <li>Conducting a debrief of the public meetings to track successes and lessons learned; and</li> <li>Attending Air Force sponsored risk communication training to understand effective ways to communicate complicated information about cleanup.</li> </ul>
20	2	2-3		David Cooper (EPA)	The grammar in the Category 3 bullet is poor.	The bullet will be revised to read: “ <b>Category 3:</b> Areas where release of hazardous substances has occurred at concentrations that do not exceed Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement (ARAR) action levels or do not present a threat to human health or the environment. Therefore, no removal or remedial action is required.”
21	2	2-9		David Cooper (EPA)	It would help to know how many action items are complete.	The fifth sentence of the first paragraph in Section 2.4 states that 14 items are complete and four items are in progress. Table 2-1 contains a column stating the status of each action item.
22	2	2-16		David Cooper (EPA)	Should be a comma, not a semi-colon in tenth line of first para.	The last sentence of the second paragraph of Section 2.4 will be revised to read: “These efforts on the part of the ATSDR to follow up on target area participants were not a result of the discovery of new problems surrounding the contamination at McClellan AFB, but rather to provide the individuals with up-to-date medical information in relation to their specific illnesses (Sarasua, 1998).”
23	3	3-1		David Cooper (EPA)	Suggest “Design, construction and operation of cleanup remedy” rather than just “cleanup.”	The last bullet in Section 3.0 will be revised to read: “Design, construction, and operation of cleanup remedy.”
24	3	3-1		David Cooper (EPA)	“Uncontrolled” or abandoned sites.	In the first sentence of Section 3.1, the word “abandoned” will be replaced with “uncontrolled.”



McClellan AFB Draft Community Relations Plan — Comment/Response Table						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
25	3	3-5		David Cooper (EPA)	Add 30-day comment period for EE/CA.	Please refer to response to Nathan Schumacher's comment number 19.
26	3	3-7		David Cooper (EPA)	Something is missing. The page starts in the middle of a sentence. Correction required.	The third paragraph under Section 3.2 will continue with: "McClellan AFB is also in the process of preparing two Feasibility Studies, one..." and lead into the existing text. (One line was inadvertently deleted.)
27	3	3-7	Second Paragraph	David Cooper (EPA)	"to be completed in 1998." Did this happen? Correction required.	In the first sentence of paragraph four of Section 3.3, the date "1998" will be changed to "1999."
28	4	4-1		David Cooper (EPA)	The overview (4.1) is really a history.	Please refer to the response to General Comment number 2.
29	4	4-14		David Cooper (EPA)	In the first line of 4.5, "took" should be valuable "tool."	Please refer to the response to Nathan Schumacher's comment number 28.
30	4	4-19	Third Paragraph	David Cooper (EPA)	In the third para, last two lines, the tense does not match.	The last sentence of the third paragraph under Section 4.5.2 will be revised to read: "Though the majority of the interviewees have Internet access, most have not visited the McClellan AFB Environmental Management Web site or know it exists."
31	5	5-15	Figure 5-1/ Section 5.4	David Cooper (EPA)	The Figure 5-1 does a good job of directing people to various fora, but Section 5.3 doesn't directly explain which venues are appropriate for which up-coming Reuse Process milestones. Maybe a simple table would help.	Please see the response to Joe Healy's comment number 5.

**McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE**

<b>Comment Number</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
32	Appendix D	D-2		David Cooper (EPA)	The U.S. EPA contact person is wrong. Both Joe Healy and David Cooper should be identified. Gwen Eng works for ATSDR and should be identified separately.	Per confirmation from Celeste Albanez from DTSC, Gwendolyn Eng is the correct contact on the DTSC Mandatory Mailing List. Ms. Eng is a liaison between ATSDR and U.S. EPA and has requested to be placed on this list to receive information regarding sites for which she is performing health assessments.  Joe Healy and David Cooper are not contacts listed on the DTSC Mandatory Mailing List. Joe Healy is listed as a RAB Agency Representative in Appendix A and David Cooper will be added next to Mr. Healy's name as the Public Participation Specialist for U.S. EPA.
<b>TEXT SUGGESTIONS</b>						
1	4	4-19	Paragraph 1	Sheila Guerra (RAB)	Please verify that 21 community members were interviewed.	Yes, 21 community members were interviewed during the 1998 CRP community interviews.
2	Appendix A	A-1		Sheila Guerra (RAB)	Delete Jeanne Lewis, Dennis Lewis, and Tom O'Donnell from the members' list. Add new member, Erwin Hayer.	The requested changes will be included in Appendix A.
3	Appendix A	A-3		Sheila Guerra (RAB)	Delete Jeannie Lewis and Tom O'Donnell. Add Sheila Guerra as a Reuse/Relative Risk Ranking Committee and a Technical Report Review Committee member.	The requested changes will be included in Appendix A.
1	Executive Summary	ES-5		Jill Estroff (LRA)	The Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA) (County of Sacramento) is the decision-making body for base reuse and is the future owner of McClellan AFB property. The LRA <u>established the Department of Military Base Conversion</u> at McClellan with the main objective to convert land reuse the base to maintain economic stability within the community. Additional goals of the LRA as specified by the County, are to:	The second sentence of the second paragraph under "Overview of Base Reuse and Community Involvement" will be revised to read: "The LRA established the Department of Military Base Conversion at McClellan AFB with the main objective to convert land reuse at the base to maintain economic stability within the community. Additional goals of the LRA, as specified by the County, are to: ..."

McCLELLAN AFB DRAFT COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN — COMMENT/RESPONSE TABLE						
Comment Number	Section	Page	Paragraph	Reviewer	Comment	Response
2	Executive Summary	ES-5		Jill Estroff (LRA)	The County is expected to produce a final reuse plan in 1999.	The fourth sentence of the third paragraph under “Overview of Base Reuse and Community Involvement” will be revised to read: “The County is expected to produce a final Reuse Plan in 1999.”
3	4	4-12		Jill Estroff (LRA)	The County of Sacramento, <u>as the designated Local Redevelopment Authority</u> , also established the Department of Military Base Conversion.	The first sentence of the second paragraph under “Organizations Associated with Base Closure” will be revised to read: “The County of Sacramento, as the designated Local Redevelopment Authority, also established the Department of Military Base Conversion.”
4	5	5-2		Jill Estroff (LRA)	The County is expected to produce a final reuse plan in 1999.	The last sentence of the third paragraph under Section 5.1 will be revised to read: “The County is expected to produce a final Reuse Plan in 1999.”
5	5	5-3		Jill Estroff (LRA)	Sacramento County Department of Military Base Conversion_ (delete “s”, not plural) To meet the challenges of base closure, Sacramento County created the Department of Military Base Conversion. (delete “s”, not plural)	The subsection under 5.1 will be revised to read: “Sacramento County Department of Military Base Conversion.”
6	5	5-4		Jill Estroff (LRA)	Their office is located on base and can be reached at (916) <u>643-6877</u> . (We will provide the new phone number to you as soon as possible.)	The new phone number will be placed at the end of the last sentence in “Sacramento County Department of Military Base Conversion” if it is received by 15 February 1999. Otherwise, the sentence will be deleted for the draft final version and the new number re-inserted for the final version of the document.



## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS SACRAMENTO AIR LOGISTICS CENTER (AFMC)  
McClellan Air Force Base, California

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

April 19, 1999

FROM: SM-ALC/EM  
5050 Dudley Boulevard, Suite 3  
McClellan AFB, CA 95652-1389

SUBJECT: Final 1998 Update to the *McClellan AFB Installation Restoration Program Community Relations Plan*

1. We are pleased to submit the final material for the 1998 update to the *McClellan AFB Installation Restoration Program Community Relations Plan (CRP)*. This is a primary document under the Interagency Agreement. The purpose of the CRP is to provide the information needed by the public and Air Force representatives to become actively involved in working together toward the restoration and cleanup of McClellan AFB.

2. Your package contains one of two items:

- **If you have not received a draft final version of this document:** your package contains a complete copy of the final document, including response to comments on the draft and draft final versions.
- **If you have received a draft final version of this document:** your package contains final replacement pages, a new cover and tabs, and response to comments on the draft final version of this document. Only those pages that have changed are included. Please dispose of the corresponding draft final pages in your existing binder, and replace them with the final versions provided in this package. For your convenience, Attachment 1 provides a list of the final replacement pages for each section.

3. Thank you for your continued support of our program. Please feel free to call me at (916) 643-1742, extension 457 if you need additional information or assistance.

*Merianne Briggs*

Merianne Briggs  
Community Relations Coordinator  
Environmental Management Directorate

Attachment:  
List of Replacement Pages  
Final CRP Replacement Pages or Complete Document

**Attachment 1**  
**List of Replacement Pages**  
**for the Final 1998 Update to the McClellan AFB Installation Restoration Program**  
**Community Relations Plan**

Section	Replacement Pages
-	Title Page
-	Report Documentation Page
Table of Contents	i-ii
Executive Summary	All (ES-1 through ES-9)
Section 1 Community Relations Strategy	1-3 through 1-10
Section 2 Base Description Summary	2-3, 2-4, 2-10, 2-11
Section 3 Cleanup at McClellan AFB	3-1, 3-2, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-14, 3-17, 3-19, 3-20, 3-21, 3-22
Section 4 Historical Community Involvement	4-1, 4-2, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 4-8, 4-9, 4-10, 4-11, 4-12, 4-13, 4-22
Section 5 Base Realignment and Closure Decision	5-3, 5-4
Section 6 References	None
Acronyms and Abbreviations	A&A-1, A&A-2
Glossary of Terms	All (Glossary-1 through Glossary-9)
Appendix A: McClellan AFB RAB Information	A-1 through A-4
Appendix B: Demographic Data	None
Appendix C: Detailed History of Community Involvement	C-2, C-3, C-10 through C-37
Appendix D: Contact List	D-1 through D-3
CRP Distribution List	1 and 2
Laminated Section Tabs	All

INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM (IRP)

McCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE  
COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN (CRP)

**FINAL**

FOR

McCLELLAN AFB/EM  
McCLELLAN AFB, CALIFORNIA 95652-1389

April 1999

USAF CONTRACT NO. F04699-93-D-0018, DELIVERY ORDER NO. 8066

United States Air Force  
Sacramento Air Logistics Center  
Environmental Management Office  
Restoration Division (SM-ALC/EMR)  
5050 Dudley Boulevard, Suite 3  
McClellan AFB, CA 95652-1389

## **NOTICE**

This report has been prepared for the Air Force by Radian International for the purpose of identifying community concerns and laying out a plan for how the public will be informed of and involved in the Air Force Installation Restoration Program (IRP) at McClellan Air Force Base (McClellan AFB).



<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)</b>		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> 19 April 1999	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Final / Aug 96 - 19 Apr 99	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b>  McClellan AFB Community Relations Plan			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b>  Delivery Order 8066	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>  Radian International				
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  Radian International 10389 Old Placerville Road Sacramento, CA 95827			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  SM-ALC/EMR McClellan AFB, California 95652-1389			<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>				
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b>  Unclassified/Unlimited			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</b>  This Final update of the McClellan AFB Community Relations Plan (CRP) documents the strategy of of the Community Relations/Public Participation Program at McClellan AFB as of April 1999. The CRP summarizes a history of base contamination and current community concerns regarding the Installation Restoration Program at McClellan AFB. Community interviews were last conducted in summer 1998.				
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b>			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 183	
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b>	
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unlimited	



## **PREFACE**

Radian International is the contractor for the RI/FS program at McClellan AFB, California. This work was performed for the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE/ESR) under Air Force Contract No. F04699-93-D-0018 Delivery Order 8066.

Key Radian personnel were:

William E. Corbett—Corporate Sponsor  
Victor Auvinen—Program Manager  
Kerri Hartung—Project Manager

Radian would like to acknowledge the cooperation of the McClellan AFB Office of Environmental Management. In particular, Radian acknowledges the assistance of Ms. Merianne Briggs, McClellan AFB, and Ms. Linda Geissinger, Air Force Base Conversion Agency.